

1-(12 points) (a) For a bounded, nonconstant, rv  $X$ , which is larger  $E(X)^2$  or  $E(X^2)$ ? Justify your answer in detail.

(b) Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be independent -nonconstant- rv's with  $E(X_1) = E(X_2) = 0$  and for  $i = 1, 2$

$$E(X_i^2) = A_i, \quad E(X_i^3) = B_i, \quad E(X_i^4) = C_i.$$

Let  $Z = X_1 + X_2$ . Show that  $E(Z^2) = A_1 + A_2$  and  $E(Z^3) = B_1 + B_2$ . Compute  $E(Z^4)$  and show, in particular, that  $E(Z^4) \neq C_1 + C_2$ .

(a) The rv  $(X - E(X))^2$  is non-negative and is not identically zero because  $X$  is not constant. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < E((X - E(X))^2) &= E(X^2 - 2E(X)X + E(X)^2) = \\ &E(X^2) - 2E(X)E(X) + E(X)^2 = E(X^2) - E(X)^2. \end{aligned}$$

So  $E(X^2) > E(X)^2$ .

(b) By the binomial theorem, or direct multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z^2) &= E(X_1^2 + 2X_1X_2 + X_2^2) = A_1 + 2E(X_1X_2) + A_2, \\ E(Z^3) &= E(X_1^3 + 3X_1^2X_2 + 3X_1X_2^2 + X_2^3) = B_1 + 3E(X_1^2X_2) + 3E(X_1X_2^2) + B_2, \\ E(Z^4) &= E(X_1^4 + 4X_1^3X_2 + 6X_1^2X_2^2 + 4X_1X_2^3 + X_2^4) \\ &= C_1 + 4E(X_1^3X_2) + 6E(X_1^2X_2^2) + 4E(X_1X_2^3) + C_2. \end{aligned}$$

By independence,

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_1X_2) &= E(X_1)E(X_2) = 0, \quad E(X_1^2X_2) = E(X_1^2)E(X_2) = 0, \\ E(X_1X_2^2) &= E(X_1)E(X_2^2) = 0, \\ E(X_1^3X_2) &= E(X_1^3)E(X_2) = 0, \quad E(X_1X_2^3) = E(X_1)E(X_2^3) = 0, \\ E(X_1^2X_2^2) &= E(X_1^2)E(X_2^2) = A_1A_2. \end{aligned}$$

Because the rv's are nonconstant,  $A_1, A_2 > 0$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z^2) &= A_1 + A_2, \quad E(Z^3) = B_1 + B_2, \\ E(Z^4) &= C_1 + 6A_1A_2 + C_2 > C_1 + C_2. \end{aligned}$$

2-(18 points) Let  $X \sim Poiss(\lambda)$  so that the pmf of  $X$  is  $f_X(n) = \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \cdot e^{-\lambda}$ .

(a) Compute the MGF  $E(e^{tX})$  and use it to compute  $E(X)$  and  $Var(X)$ .

(b) Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  have Poisson distributions each with mean  $\lambda$ .

(i) Show that if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent, then  $X = X_1 + X_2$  has a Poisson distribution. Explain where independence is used.

(ii) Show that if  $X_1 = X_2$  then  $X = X_1 + X_2$  does not have a Poisson distribution. Compute  $P(X = 1)$  and  $P(X = 2)$ .

(a)  $f_X(n) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}$ . So

$$M_X(t) = E(e^{tX}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{tk} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda e^t)^k}{k!}.$$

So  $M_X(t) = \exp(\lambda(e^t - 1))$ .

(b) (i) If  $X_1 \sim Poiss(\lambda_1)$ ,  $X_2 \sim Poiss(\lambda_2)$  then  $M_X(t) = E(e^{tX_1+tX_2}) = E(e^{tX_1} e^{tX_2})$ . For independent rv's the expectation of the product is the product of the expectations and so

$$M_X(t) = M_{X_1}(t)M_{X_2}(t) = \exp((\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(e^t - 1)).$$

Because the MGF characterizes the distribution,  $X \sim Poiss(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ .

Alternatively,  $P(X = n) = \sum_{k=0}^n P(X_1 = k \& X_2 = n - k)$ . By independence this equals

$$\sum_{k=0}^n e^{-\lambda_1} \frac{\lambda_1^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda_2} \frac{\lambda_2^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} = \frac{e^{-(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \lambda_1^k \lambda_2^{n-k}.$$

By the Binomial Theorem this equals  $e^{-(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)} \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^n}{n!}$ . So  $X \sim Poiss(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ .

If  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = m$  then  $X \sim Poiss(2\lambda)$ .

(ii) If  $X_1 = X_2$  then  $X = 2X_1$  has range only the even nonnegative integers and so is not Poisson. In particular,  $P(X = 1) = 0$  and  $P(X = 2) = P(X_1 = 1) = \lambda e^{-\lambda}$ .

3-(20 points) Let  $X, Y$  be rv's with joint density

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = C(x + y)dx dy \text{ for } 0 < x, y < 1 \text{ and } = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

(i) Compute the constant  $C$  so that this defines a joint pdf.

(ii) Compute the marginal distributions for  $X$  and  $Y$  and determine whether  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent (justifying your answer).

(iii) Compute the conditional pdf of  $Y$  given  $X$ , i.e.  $f_{Y|X}(y|x) dy$ .

(iv) For  $Z = XY$ , compute the survival function  $G_Z$  and the pdf of  $Z$ .

(v) Compute the expectations of  $X, Y$  and  $Z$ .

(i), (ii)  $f_X(x) = (\int_0^1 f_{X,Y}(x, y) dy) dx = (\int_0^1 x + y dy) dx = (x + \frac{1}{2}) dx$  for  $0 < x < 1$ .

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 x + y dy dx = \int_0^1 x + \frac{1}{2} dx = 1$$

and so  $f_{X,Y}$  is a pdf with  $C = 1$ .

By symmetry,  $Y \sim X$  and  $f_Y(y) = y + \frac{1}{2}$ .

They are not independent because the joint density is not the product of the marginals.

(iii)  $f_{Y|X}(y|x) dy = [f_{X,Y}(x, y) dy dx] \div (f_X(x) dx) = \frac{x+y}{x+1/2} dy$ , for  $0 < x, y < 1$ .

(iv) For  $0 < z < 1$ ,

$$G_Z(z) = P(z \leq Z) = \int_{x=z}^{x=1} \int_{y=z/x}^{y=1} (x + y) dy dx = \int_{x=z}^{x=1} x + \frac{1}{2} - z - \frac{z^2}{2x^2} dx = 1 - 2z + z^2 = (1 - z)^2.$$

Hence, the pdf  $f_Z(z) = -G'_Z(z) = 2(1 - z)$ .

Alternatively, but harder, one can transform, mapping  $(x, y) \rightarrow (w, z)$  with  $w = x, z = xy$ . This maps the square with  $0 < x, y < 1$  to the triangle  $0 < z < w < 1$ . The inverse map is  $(w, z) \rightarrow (x, y)$  with  $x = w, y = z/w$ .

The Jacobian matrix is given by

$$\frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(w, z)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial w} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial w} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -z/w^2 & 1/w \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the Jacobian factor  $|\frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(w,z)}| = 1/w$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f_{W,Z}(w, z)dw dz &= f_{X,Y}(x(w, z), y(w, z)) \left| \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(w, z)} \right| dw dz \\ &= (w + z/w) \cdot (1/w) dw dz = (1 + z/w^2) dw dz \end{aligned}$$

$$f_Z(z)dz = \left( \int_z^1 (1 + z/w^2) dw \right) dz = (w - z/w) \Big|_z^1 dz = 1 - z - z + 1 = 2(1 - z).$$

(v)

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \int_0^1 x \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \right) dx = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{7}{12}. \\ E(Z) &= \int_0^1 z 2(1 - z) dz = 1 - \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X \sim Y$ ,  $E(Y) = E(X)$ .

4-(14 points) Assume that  $X_1, \dots, X_5$  are independent rv's each with distribution  $Unif(0, 1)$ . Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_5$  be the order statistics.

(i) Compute the densities  $f_{Y_5}(y_5)dy_5$ ,  $f_{Y_4}(y_4)dy_4$ , and  $f_{Y_4 Y_5}(y_4, y_5)dy_4 dy_5$ .

(ii) Compute the expectations  $E(Y_5)$  and  $E(Y_4)$ .

The pdf is  $f(x)dx = 1dx$  and the cdf is  $F(x) = x$  for  $0 < x < 1$ .

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} f_{Y_5}(y_5)dy_5 &= 5f(y_5)F(y_5)^4 dy_5 = 5y_5^4 dy_5, \\ f_{Y_4}(y_4)dy_4 &= 5 \cdot 4f(y_4)F(y_4)^3(1 - F(y_4)) = 20y_4^3(1 - y_4)dy_4. \\ f_{Y_4 Y_5}(y_4, y_5)dy_4 dy_5 &= 5 \cdot 4f(y_4)f(y_5)F(y_4)^3 dy_4 dy_5 = 20y_4^3 dy_4 dy_5 \quad \text{for } 0 < y_4 < y_5 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$E(Y_5) = \int_0^1 5y_5^5 dy_5 = \frac{5}{6}, \quad E(Y_4) = \int_0^1 20y_4^4(1 - y_4)dy_4 = \frac{4}{6}.$$

5-(18 points)  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a sample with distribution with exponential distribution  $Exp(\theta)$  so that  $f_X(x) dx = \theta e^{-\theta x} dx$ , and it is known that  $\theta \leq 1$ . Hence, the range of the parameter we wish to estimate is  $0 < \theta \leq 1$ . Compute the MLE for  $\theta$ .

$f_X(x) = \theta e^{-\theta x}$  and so  $L(\theta) = \theta^n \exp(-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$  and

$$\ell(\theta) = n \ln(\theta) - \theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta} = n\theta^{-1} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

So  $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta} = 0$  when  $\theta = n \div (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$  with  $\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \theta^2} = -n\theta^{-2} < 0$ .

However,  $\theta$  is restricted to interval  $(0, 1]$ . If  $n \div (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) \leq 1$  then this is the point at which maximum  $\ell$  is maximum. If  $n \div (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) > 1$  then  $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta} > 0$  and the maximum value occurs at the right endpoint  $\theta = 1$ . Thus, the MLE is

$$\hat{\theta} = \text{minimum}(1, 1/\bar{X}).$$

6- (18 points)  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a sample with distribution  $Unif(0, \theta)$ .

(i) Compute the MLE  $\hat{\theta}$  for  $\theta$  and the MLE for the mean.

(ii) Compute the cdf, pdf and mean of  $M = \hat{\theta}$ . Show that  $\hat{\theta}$  is a biased estimate of  $\theta$ .

(iii) For  $M = \hat{\theta}$ , compute the range and the cdf of  $Z = \frac{M}{\theta}$  and show that this is a known distribution, i.e. it does not depend on  $\theta$ . Use it as a pivot variable to obtain a 90% confidence interval for  $\theta$  (Hint: Compute  $q$  so that  $P(Z \leq q) = .1$ )

(i)  $L(\theta) = (1/\theta^n)$  for  $0 \leq x_1, \dots, x_n \leq \theta$  and  $= 0$  otherwise. Since  $(1/\theta^n)$  is decreasing in  $\theta$ , the MLE  $\hat{\theta} = M = \max(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .

The mean value of  $X$  is  $\theta/2$  and so the MLE for the mean is  $M/2$  and not  $\bar{X}$ .

(ii) The cdf is  $F_X(t) = \frac{t}{\theta}$ ,  $0 < t < \theta$ . Since  $M \leq t$  if and only if  $X_1, \dots, X_n \leq t$ , the cdf of  $M$  is  $F_M(t) = (\frac{t}{\theta})^n$ ,  $0 < t < \theta$  and so the pdf of  $M$  is  $f_M(t) = F'_M(t) = n \frac{t^{n-1}}{\theta^n}$  and so

$$E(M) = \int_0^\theta n \frac{t^n}{\theta^n} dt = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta.$$

So the estimate is biased.

(iii) The range of  $M$  is  $(0, \theta)$  and so the range of  $Z$  is  $(0, 1)$ . For  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,  $F_Z(t) = F_M(t\theta) = F_X(t\theta)^n$ .  $F_X(x) = x/\theta$  for  $0 < x < \theta$ . So  $F_Z(t) = t^n$ . With  $q = .1^{1/n}$ ,  $P(Z \leq q) = .1$  and so  $P(Z > q) = .9$ . That is,  $P(\frac{M}{q} > \theta) = .9$ . On the other hand,  $P(\theta > M) = 1$ . Thus, the 90% confidence interval is

$$(\max(x_1, \dots, x_n), \max(x_1, \dots, x_n)/.1^{1/n}).$$

Notice that as  $n$  tends to infinity,  $.1^{1/n} < 1$  increases with limit 1, and so  $1/.1^{1/n} > 1$  decreases with limit 1.